

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,738	06/23/2003	Volker Pretzlaff	KOA 0234 PUS (R 1381)	7534
22045	7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.			NGUYEN, NAM V	
1000 TOWN CENTER				
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			2635	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/601,738	PRETZLAFF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	- 1	
Nam V. Nguyen	2635		

	Nam v. Nguyen	2035				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that			er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	IRST REPLY WAS FILE				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL	olianaa with 27 OFD 44 27 maara ba	e filed with the form	45			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further column (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,10,11,13-16 and 18-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Referring to Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-11, 13-16 and 18-20, K	ing discloses a trainable transmitte	<u>er comprises a transn</u>	nitter, code-			
generation circuitry and removeable, plug-in data modul discloses that by mounting the IC card 2 in the key inpurepresented in the tablet 1b as the key operational portion	t device 1, the marks 20 printed or	n the surface of the ca	ard are			
portion from the top of the ob the tablet 1b, a code signal corresponding to the pushed key is generated (column 4 lines 29 to 44; see Figures 1-2). It is clear that the mark 20 is a push button of the IC card 2. The key assignment program for						
determining which function is assigned to each key represented in the tablet 1b is stored in the memory 13 of the IC card 2 (column 5 lines 14 to 24; see Figures 3-4). Clearly, the code stored in the IC card 2						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	
<b>'</b>		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050627

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

mith of John